UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,616	02/07/2006	Horst Mueller	68002-007US1	2023
	7590 11/18/200 OHLICEK & TSAO, LI	EXAMINER		
10 FAWCETT STREET			BUTTNER, DAVID J	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@ORTPATENT.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/567,616	MUELLER, HORST			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Buttner	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	- [.] action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —	/ 				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice direct La	x parte Quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/19/06. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

The lined out references on the 1449 form were either mis-identified or not provided (an abstract of a foreign patent is not a foreign patent).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4's adduct would no longer contain a phosphonic acid as required by claim 1 as the phosphonic group undoubtedly reacts with the epoxy. If this claim is made independent, a restriction requirement will be necessitated.

Claim 10's "acrylic(meth)acrylic acid" has no recognized meaning. "(Meth)acrylic acid" means acrylic acid or methacrylic acid.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chang '532.

Chang exemplifies (first table of col 10) polymerizing methacrylic acid, hydroxyethylacrylate and alkylmethacrylates in the presence of the chain transfer agent DMG COBALT3. Vinylphosphonic aicd (col 5 line 50) can be used in place of DMG COBALT3. Such a polymerization qualifies as applicant's step a). The resulting macromer is then polymerized with additional monomers (second table of col 10). This qualifies as applicant's additional step recited in claim 2. The resulting material is then reacted with Cymel301 (a melamine resin - second table of col 11) and other additives which qualifies as applicant's step b). The material can be used as a basecoat (col 9 line 15-17) with a large amount of pigment. A binder/pigment ratio of 100/100 would result in a composition having 50% of the solids being the binder.

Claims 1,2,5 and 6 of this application conflict with claims 2,3,7 and 8 of Application No. 10-531200. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Claims 1,2,5 and 6 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 2,3,7 and 8 of copending Application No. 10-531200.

This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-20 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 6-20 of copending Application No. 10-531200. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the copending application's claims mirror that of the instant claims with the exception that some of the copending claims permit (but do not require) additional polymerization of unsaturated monomer after reaction with aminoplast, while the instant claims do not allow such a subsequent polymerization. The copending claims are marginally broader than the instant claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

WO 01/93820 exemplifies (#1) a copolymer of acrylic acid/vinylphosphonic acid/hydroxyethylacrylate, but lacks the aminoplast.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 571-272-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/567,616

Art Unit: 1796

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Buttner

11/10/08

/David Buttner/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796